that you don't have any experience with. It was made by a group of people who have had experience with it over a period of years. If you adopt what Senator Chambers is asking you to do now, you are adopting a personality prone decision as opposed to a research based decision. I would say to you that one of the reasons that this is being proposed right now is because some people are not being allowed to maintain or retain within their own jurisdiction personal interest areas. I believe it behooves us as a legislative body to spread around all of the content of the legislative process so that everyone in here can become informed about a variety of areas. The committees are the workhorses of this legislative body. We had committees that were far overworked because of the jurisdiction that they had garnered into their committees. Now, our effort was to disseminate that area and to dispense it along the lines so that many people had the opportunity to share. Now, maybe the Omaha delegation didn't understand this, but I would say to you that as a total legislative body there were 49 people in here who voted, and as we always do the majority adopted this rule change, twice the majority adopted this rule change. I would suggest to you that it was a very open and informed process, IC was a process that 25 people, in fact 27 people, felt was a right way for us to move. We had not made an attempt to reconsider this since the inception of the legislative body 50 years ago, as has been pointed out. Any attempt had been done on a personality basis, such as when the Constitutional Revision and Recreation Committee was set up. That was a personality move in favor of one particular senator. This study takes personalities out and does it strictly on numbers and work loads for people. And I would suggest that if you support Senator Chambers' move that you are again going back to the personality method. This was not adopted with any one senator in mind, or any group of senators in mind. I think that it is a positive move for our legislative body, and I certainly would ask you to sustain it by voting against Senator Chambers' I've placed before you a copy from an editorial which certainly indicates that this is one of the outstanding moves that this legislative body made. And, for those of you who are new, I want you to know that lots of thought and many, many research hours went into this motion. I ask for you to sustain the new system, not to throw us back into chaos so that some of you who will be sitting at ten o'clock at night on hearings will not find yourself subject to that again. Please vote against Senator Chambers' motion. Thank you.

•PRESIDENT: Thank you, Senator Morehead. The Chair will explain